

# UNITED STATES-PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/12/2004

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/559,820	04/28/2000	Takashi Murai	Q59071 3230		
759	90 03/12/2004	EXAMINER			
Sughrue Mion Zinn Macpeak & Seas PLLC			MCANULTY, TIMOTHY P		
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue N W Washington, DC 20037-3202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3682	-	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•					$\sim$			
		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/559,8	20	MURAI, TAKASHI				
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
			P McAnulty	3682				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this community Reply	nication appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address:	; <del></del>			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN maions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (1) period for reply is specified above, the maximum is reto reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no exmunication. 30) days, a reply within the statistatutory period will apply and v	vent, however, may a reply be tin tutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from olication to become ABANDONE	nely filed is will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communi D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.			
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <u>10 October 200</u>	<u>03</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is n	on-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-9 and 14</u> is/are pending in the application.							
·=	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>14</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-9</u> is/are rejected.							
, —	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restr	iction and/or election	requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
•	The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner. N	ote the attached Office	Action or form PTO-15	)2.			
•	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
* 5 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a clair  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority  3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation and the enternation of the attached detailed Office activation and the enternation of the foreign lands of the certified copies application from the Internation of the foreign lands of	y documents have been y documents have been y documents have been sof the priority document on a list of the center of the center of the first sentence anguage provisional a for domestic priority under the priority of the center of the priority of the pr	en received. en received in Application received in Application 17.2(a)). ified copies not received inder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) e of the specification of the s	ion No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional appl r in an Application Data ceived. and/or 121 since a spe	lication) Sheet. ecific			
Attachmen			4) M Int. 1999 6	(DTO 442) B==== N=(=) 00				
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)			(PTO-413) Paper No(s). <u>23</u> . Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/559,820

Art Unit: 3682

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The Office action mailed 13 January 2004, erroneously omitted treating claim 14. Claim 14 was newly submitted in the amendment filed 10 October 2003. The following is a new Office action addressing all pending claims, namely claims 1-5, 7-9, and 14.

#### Election/Restrictions

2. Newly submitted claim 14 is directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: claim 14 is drawn to a method of making a retainer for a roller bearing classified in 29/898.043 distinguished the retainer for a roller bearing, that can be made from a materially different process, as claimed in claims 1-5 and 7-9 is classified in 384/572.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claim 14 is withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1,2, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
  - a. The recitation of "said first pocket surface" in lines 12 and 14 of claim 1 and in lines 17 and 19 of claim 5 lacks antecedent basis.

Application/Control Number: 09/559,820

Art Unit: 3682

b. The recitation of "said second pocket surface" in line 12 of claim 1 and in line 17 of claim 5 lacks antecedent basis.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-5 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Baden.

Baden discloses in figures 1,2, and 5, a retainer for rolling bearings comprising a rolling element receiving pocket having a pair of ring shaped side plates, a pair of pillars, a first pocket surface formed in said pair of pillars and being arc shaped, a second pocket surface formed on said pair of ring shaped side plates, and an escaping recess 15 located between said first pocket surface and said second pocket surface. Baden further discloses a roller run-out preventing portion formed on said pair of pillars and being arc shaped wherein a length of said roller run-out portion being less than or equal to a length of a roller inserted in said rolling element receiving pocket and greater than 0.75 times the length of said roller.

The limitation that the pocket surfaces are formed by machining with a tool member or multiply tool members whose machining part has a sectional contour which coincides with a sectional configuration of said pocket surfaces after formation of said pocket does not further limit the retainer as claimed. Even though product-by-process claims are limited and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. See MPEP §2113.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/559,820

Art Unit: 3682

## Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 and 7-9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Baden clearly discloses a roller run-out prevent portion which is less than or equal to a length of a roller and greater than 0.75 times the length of the roller. As presently claimed, the structure of the retainer is merely limited to be within the range limitations.

### Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy P McAnulty whose telephone number is 703.308.8684. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bucci can be reached on 703.308.3668. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703.305.7687 for regular communications and 703.305.7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.308.1113.

SUPERVISORY PATENT FAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600